International application No.

		PC	CT/JP2005/000698	
	ATION OF SUBJECT MATTER			
Int.Cl'	G06F15/00			
According to Inte	rnational Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national	classification and IPC		
B. FIELDS SEA				
	entation searched (classification system followed by clas G06F15/00, G06F12/14, G06K17/			
1110.01	G00F13/00, G00F12/14, G00R1//	50, 1104H5/52		
Documentation se	earched other than minimum documentation to the exten	t that such documents are inc	luded in the fields searched	
Jitsuyo	Shinan Koho 1922-1996 Jit	suyo Shinan Toroku	Koho 1996-2004	
Kokai Ji	tsuyo Shinan Koho 1971-2004 Tor	oku Jitsuyo Shinan	Koho 1994-2004	
	ase consulted during the international search (name of da	nta base and, where practicabl	e, search terms used)	
CSDB (Ja	panese Patent Office)		•	
C. DOCUMEN	TS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where app	ropriate, of the relevant passa	nges Relevant to claim No.	
Y	MIYAKE et al., "Contents Haish		1-21	
	Commerce-Yo no Secure Multimed Hitachi Hyoron, Hitachi Hyoron			
	October, 2001 (01.10.01), 2003			
	Gatsu special extra issue, pag	ges 9 to 14		
Y	Mitsurai·Chiba, "Internet ni (Okeru Personal	8	
	Network no Kochiku", Informat:	ion Processing	*	
	Society of Japan Kenkyu Hokok Processing Society of Japan,			
	(27.07.01), Vol.2001, No.78,			
	pages 83 to 90			
Y	JP 2003-108385 A (Toshiba Co	m.).	11-21	
	11 April, 2003 (11.04.03),	- F - / /		
	Full text; Figs. 1 to 5			
	(Family: none)			
× Further do	ocuments are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family ann	nex.	
1 -	gories of cited documents:		after the international filing date or priority	
	efining the general state of the art which is not considered cicular relevance	date and not in conflict w the principle or theory un	ith the application but cited to understand derlying the invention	
"E" earlier appli	cation or patent but published on or after the international		levance: the claimed invention cannot be not be considered to involve an inventive	
filing date "L" document v	which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is	step when the document i		
	ablish the publication date of another citation or other on (as specified)		levance: the claimed invention cannot be n inventive step when the document is	
	eferring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		re other such documents, such combination	
"P" document property date	ublished prior to the international filing date but later than the e claimed	"&" document member of the		
Day of the		Date of mailing of the intern	national course report	
Date of the actual completion of the international search 23 March, 2005 (23.03.05)			05 (05.04.05)	
		_		
Name and maili	ng address of the ISA/	Authorized officer		
	se Patent Office			
Facsimile No. Telephone No.				
Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (January 2004)				

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/000698

Catana	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No
Category*		
A	JP 2002-158650 A (Fujitsu Ltd.), 31 May, 2002 (31.05.02), Full text; Figs. 1 to 28 & US 2002-62440 A1 & EP 01209874 A	1-21
A	JP 2003-337749 A (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.), 28 November, 2003 (28.11.03), Full text; Figs. 1 to 7 & WO 03077083 A2 & US 2003-182569 A1	1-21
	& WO 03077003 AZ	
		-
		į

International application No. PCT/JP2005/000698

Box No. II	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
1. Claim	nal search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons: as Nos.: as they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
becau	ns Nos.: use they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an t that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
	ns Nos.: use they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box No. III	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
	onal Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: extra sheet)
clain	·
	l searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of additional fee.
	nly some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
	equired additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is icted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on P	The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/000698

Continuation of Box No. III of continuation of first sheet (2)

The matter common to the inventions of claims 1-21 only relates to that a client device accesses a server via a network. Since such a client & server system is a known technique. Accordingly, the matter common to the inventions of claims 1-21 is not novel.

Furthermore, the matter common to the inventions of claims 1-9 and 11-21 relates to a storage medium including a remote operation application for operating the server at a distance, an encryption application program for encrypting the communication on network, authentication information stored in the tamper-proof storage area for remote operation of the server, and middleware. However, the search has revealed that such a storage medium is a known technique as is disclosed in the documents given below.

Document 1: Miyake et al. "Contents Haishin Mobile Commerce-Yo no Secure Multimedia Card", Hitachi Ronpyo Sha, 01 October, 2001 (01.10.01), special number of October, 2001, pp. 9-14

Document 2: JP 2002-229861 A (Hitachi Ltd.), 16 August, 2002 (16.08.02), whole text, all the pages (family none)

As a result, the aforementioned common matter makes no contribution over the prior art and cannot be a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, second sentence.

Accordingly, there exists no novel matter common to the inventions of claims 1-9 and 11-21.

The inventions of claims 1-9 are technically characterized in that a client device loads middleware from a storage medium and executes it, thereby performing file access and authentication. The invention of claim 10 is technically characterized in that file access and authentication are performed by operating the interface and the driver installed in the client device not having the configuration associated with the storage medium. The inventions of claims 11-21 are technically characterized in that the storage medium contains a boot program which is executed by BIOS owned by the client device and upon power ON, the client device executes the boot program in the storage medium and acquires and executes an OS program, i.e., an operation which has substantially no relation to the security is performed. Between these three groups of inventions, there exists no other common feature which can be considered as a special technical feature within the meaning of PCTRule 13.2, second sentence. Accordingly, no technical relationship within the meaning of PCT Rule 13 between the different inventions can be seen.

Consequently, it is obvious that the inventions of claims 1-9, the invention of claim 10, and the inventions of claims 11-21 do not satisfy the requirement of unity of invention.